

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,623 | 12/02/2003 | Richard A. Hall | TFLED-362TUS | 2002 |
| 25875 7590 07/29/2010 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202 | | | NORTON, JENNIFER L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 2121 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/29/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/724,623 | HALL, RICHARD A. | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | JENNIFER L. NORTON | 2121 | | | | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Jennifer L. Norton</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) David W. Dorton Reg. No. 51,625. | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 27 July 2010 | Time: | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A. | nt's representative) | | | | | |
| if res, provide a brief description: N/A. | | | | | | |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 14 | | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Jennifer L. Norton/ | | | | | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if | appropriate) | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/724,623

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Applicant with a proposed amendment to correct a typographical error of claim 14 that was presented in the Amendment After Notice of Allowance received on 24 June 2010 by the Office. The Applicant accepted the proposed amendment to claim 14 on 27 July 2010.